



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER SHANE PHILLIPS,
Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEP'T OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:10-1331-HFF-BHH
§
§
§
§

ORDER

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 17, 2010, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.*

In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that the case is **DISMISSED** *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 12th day of July, 2010, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

*On June 21, 2010, the Clerk docketed a letter to the Magistrate Judge from Plaintiff in which he seeks the Magistrate Judge's advice related to pending federal charges. These charges are unrelated to the allegations in this lawsuit, and, thus, even if liberally construed as objections, they are overruled and without merit. Nonetheless, in response to Plaintiff's letter, the Court notes that it cannot give legal advice except to advise Plaintiff to seek the advice of an attorney.